■ **Fifth Proof.** After analysis it's topology now! Consider the following curious topology on the set $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b > 0$ we set

$$N_{a,b} = \{a + nb : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Each set $N_{a,b}$ is a two-way infinite arithmetic progression. Now call a set $O \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ *open* if either O is empty, or if to every $a \in O$ there exists some $b > 0$ with $N_{a,b} \subseteq O$. Clearly, the union of open sets is open again. If O_1, O_2 are open, and $a \in O_1 \cap O_2$ with $N_{a,b_1} \subseteq O_1$ and $N_{a,b_2} \subseteq O_2$, then $a \in N_{a,b_1 b_2} \subseteq O_1 \cap O_2$. So we conclude that any finite intersection of open sets is again open. So, this family of open sets induces a bona fide topology on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let us note two facts:

(A) Any non-empty open set is infinite.

(B) Any set $N_{a,b}$ is closed as well.

Indeed, the first fact follows from the definition. For the second we observe

$$N_{a,b} = \mathbb{Z} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{b-1} N_{a+i,b},$$

which proves that $N_{a,b}$ is the complement of an open set and hence closed.

"Pitching flat rocks, infinitely"

So far the primes have not yet entered the picture — but here they come. Since any number $n \neq 1, -1$ has a prime divisor p, and hence is contained in $N_{0,p}$, we conclude

$$\mathbb{Z} \backslash \{1, -1\} = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} N_{0,p}.$$

Now if $\mathbb{P}$ were finite, then $\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} N_{0,p}$ would be a finite union of closed sets (by (B)), and hence closed. Consequently, $\{1, -1\}$ would be an open set, in violation of (A). □

■ **Sixth Proof.** Our final proof goes a considerable step further and demonstrates not only that there are infinitely many primes, but also that the series $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p}$ diverges. The first proof of this important result was given by Euler (and is interesting in its own right), but our proof, devised by Erdős, is of compelling beauty.

Let $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$ be the sequence of primes in increasing order, and assume that $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p}$ converges. Then there must be a natural number k such that $\sum_{i \geq k+1} \frac{1}{p_i} < \frac{1}{2}$. Let us call $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ the *small* primes, and $p_{k+1}, p_{k+2}, \ldots$ the *big* primes. For an arbitrary natural number N we therefore find

$$\sum_{i \geq k+1} \frac{N}{p_i} < \frac{N}{2}. \quad (1)$$